arXiv:1410.3418v2 [math.DG] 26 Oct 2015

GENERALIZATIONS OF THE CHOE-HOPPE HELICOID AND CLIFFORD CONES IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE

EUNJOO LEE AND HOJOO LEE

Abstract. By sweeping out L indpendent Clifford cones in $\mathbb{R}^{2N+2}$ via the multi-screw motion, we construct minimal submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1}$. Also, we sweep out the L-rays Clifford cone (introduced in Section 2.3) in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)}$ to construct minimal submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1}$. Our minimal submanifolds unify various interesting examples: Choe-Hoppe's helicoid of codimension one, cone over Lawson's ruled minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^3$, Barbosa-Dajczer-Jorge's ruled submanifolds, and Harvey-Lawson's volume-minimizing twisted normal cone over the Clifford torus $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N$.

Dedicated to Professor Jaigyoung Choe in honor of his 61*st birthday*

Contents

1. Helicoids and minimal cones 1
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. Multi-screw motions in Euclidean space 3
2.2. Identities on higher dimensional Clifford tori 4
2.3. Multi-rays cones over the submaninfolds in a sphere 8
3. Generalized helicoids in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1}$ 10
4. Proof of main results 13
References 24

1. Helicoids and minimal cones

In 1867, Riemann [21] discovered a family of complete, embedded, singly periodic minimal surfaces in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$ foliated by circles and lines. He established that his staircases, planes, catenoids, and helicoids are the only minimal surfaces fibered by circles or lines in parallel planes.

Keywords: Clifford cones, Clifford tori, helicoids, minimal submanifolds.

Catenoids can be generalized to higher dimensions and have been characterized in various ways. Higher dimensional catenoids in $\mathbb{R}^{K\geq 4}$ are the minimal hypersurfaces spanned by a family of coaxial $(K-2)$-dimensional round spheres of varying radii. In 1991, Jagy [15] adopted Schoen's argument [22] to show that if a minimal hypersurface Σ^{K-1} in $\mathbb{R}^{K\geq 4}$ is foliated by $(K-2)$-dimensional round spheres of varying radii in parallel $(K-1)$-dimensional hyperplanes, then the submanifold Σ^{K-1} should be rotationally symmetric. See also Shiffman's Theorem [24, Theorem 1].

While there were several interesting results on higher dimensional catenoids, significant results on helicoids have been mostly in $\mathbb{R}^3$. For instance, Colding-Minicozzi's deep description illustrates that embedded minimal disks in a ball in $\mathbb{R}^3$ are modeled on planes or helicoids [5–8]. Meeks and Rosenberg [19] proved that helicoids and planes are the only complete, properly embedded, simply connected minimal surfaces. Bernstein and Breiner [1] used the Colding-Minicozzi theory to show that a complete, properly embedded, minimal surface with finite genus and one end must be asymptotic to a helicoid.

Helicoids in $\mathbb{R}^3$ can be characterized in various ways. For instance, we can obtain helicoids by taking conjugate surfaces of catenoids. However, the notion of conjugation (for instance, see [9, 16]) is not known for minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{K\geq 4}$. Also, Catalan's Theorem shows that a ruled minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ should be a helicoid

$$\mathcal{H}_{(\lambda_0,\lambda_1)} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}\, e^{i(\lambda_1\Theta)} \\ \lambda_0\Theta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R} \mid \Theta, \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \quad \lambda_0, \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}\text{: constants.}$$

The helicoid $\mathcal{H}_{(\lambda_0,\lambda_1)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is invariant under the screw motion

$$\begin{bmatrix} x+iy \\ z \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R} \;\mapsto\; \begin{bmatrix} e^{i(\lambda_1 t)}(x+iy) \\ z+\lambda_0 t \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R}.$$

This geometric observation gives an insight on generalizing classical helicoids into higher dimensions as in [4].

Choe and Hoppe [4, Theorem 2] gave an explicit construction of a minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{2N+1}$ foliated by Clifford hypercones in $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$. The Choe-Hoppe helicoid in $\mathbb{R}^{2N+1}$ is the hypersurface

$$z = f(x_1, y_1, \cdots, x_N, y_N) = \mathbf{arg}\left(\sqrt{(x_1+iy_1)^2+\cdots+(x_N+iy_N)^2}\;\right),$$

up to homotheties. Recently, Del Pino, Musso, and Pacard [20] produced new solutions of the Allen-Cahn equation whose zero set is the

Choe-Hoppe helicoid. See also Wei-Yang's traveling wave solutions with vortex helix structure for Schrödinger map equation [28].

Minimal cones play an important role in solving higher dimensional Bernstein problems (for instance, see Fleming's argument [10] and Simons' Theorem [25, Theorem 6.2.2]) and understanding the nature of singularities of minimal varieties. Smale [26] used disjoint stable minimal hypercones in $\mathbb{R}^{K\geq 8}$ to construct many stable embedded minimal hypersurfaces with boundary, in $\mathbb{R}^{K\geq 8}$, with an arbitrary number of isolated singularities and prescribed rate of decay to their tangent cones at the singularities. Moreover, minimal cones in the unit ball become important examples of free boundary minimal varieties. See papers [11, 12] by Fraser and Schoen, and the survey [23, Example 2.10] by Schoen.

Our main goal is to generalize Choe-Hoppe's minimal variety. By sweeping out Clifford cones or multi-rays Clifford cones (Definition 4), we explicitly construct generalized helicoids in odd dimensional Euclidean spaces (Theorem 2 and Theorem 3) and new minimal cones in even dimensional Euclidean spaces (Corollary 1, Remark 3, and Example 3). We also extend Takahashi's classical criterion to higher codimension (Theorem 1). We shall show that our minimal submanifolds naturally unify various minimal submanifolds in Euclidean space and unit sphere. See four examples illustrated in Section 3.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Multi-screw motions in Euclidean space.** For a given angle θ and a complex vector

$$X+iY=\begin{bmatrix} x_1+iy_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{N+1}+iy_{N+1} \end{bmatrix}\in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}=\mathbb{R}^{N+1}+i\mathbb{R}^{N+1},$$

we adopt the notation

$$e^{i(\lambda_i\theta)}\,(X+iY)=\begin{bmatrix} e^{i(\lambda_i\theta)}\,(x_1+iy_1) \\ \vdots \\ e^{i(\lambda_i\theta)}\,(x_{N+1}+iy_{N+1}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We also use the complex structure $\mathbf{J}$ as the $\frac{\pi}{2}$-rotation. More explicitly,

$$\mathbf{J}\,(X+iY)=i\,(X+iY)=\begin{bmatrix} -y_1+ix_1 \\ \vdots \\ -y_{N+1}+ix_{N+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We then introduce the multi-screw motion in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1}$.

Definition 1 (**Multi-screw motion in Euclidean space** $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1}$)**.** *Let $L \geq 1$ and $N \geq 0$ be integers. Given an $(L+1)$-tuple of real numbers*

$$\Lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_L),$$

we introduce the multi-screw motion $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1} = \mathbb{C}^{(N+1)L} \times \mathbb{R}$ with the pitch vector Λ. The mapping $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 + iY_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_L + iY_L \\ z \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} e^{i(\lambda_1\theta)}\,(X_1 + iY_1) \\ \vdots \\ e^{i(\lambda_L\theta)}\,(X_L + iY_L) \\ z + \lambda_0\theta \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

2.2. **Identities on higher dimensional Clifford tori.**

Definition 2 (**Higher dimensional Clifford tori in sphere** $\mathbb{S}^{2N+1}$)**.** *A $2N$-dimensional Clifford torus $C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N$ denotes the minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^{2N+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2N+2}$ defined by*

$$C = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{S}^{2N+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2(N+1)} \mid \|X\|_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} = 1,\ \|Y\|_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} = 1 \right\}.$$

Throughout this article, the symbol $\cdot$ means the standard dot product in Euclidean spaces.

Lemma 1 (**Identities on Clifford tori** $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N$)**.** *Let C denote a local parameterization of the Clifford torus $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N$ in $\mathbb{S}^{2N+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2N+2}$*

$$C(u_1, \ldots, u_{2N}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} X(u_1, \ldots, u_N) \\ Y(u_{N+1}, \ldots, u_{2N}) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2N+2},$$

where $X(u_1, \ldots, u_N)$ and $Y(u_{N+1}, \ldots, u_{2N})$ are an $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$-valued local chart of two independent unit spheres of dimension N. Its unit normal vector reads

$$\mathcal{D}(u_1, \ldots, u_{2N}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} X(u_1, \ldots, u_N) \\ -Y(u_{N+1}, \ldots, u_{2N}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\left(\mathbf{g}^{ij}\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq 2N}$ denote the inverse of matrix $\left(\mathbf{g}_{ij}\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq 2N}$ of the first fundamental form induced by the immersion C in coordinates $u_1, \ldots, u_{2N}$. We also use the abbreviation $\mathbf{g} = \det\left(\mathbf{g}_{ij}\right)$ and introduce the $\mathbb{R}$-valued function

$$w_j = \frac{\partial C}{\partial u_j} \cdot \mathbf{J}C, \quad j \in \{1, \cdots, 2N\}.$$

Then, we have the following identities:

(a)

$$\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C})\ \mathcal{D} + \sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} g^{ij} w_j \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_i},$$

and

$$-\mathbf{J}\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C})\ \mathcal{C} + \sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} g^{ij} w_j \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial u_i}.$$

(b)

$$1 - (\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C})^2 = \sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \mathbf{g}^{ij} w_i w_j.$$

(c)

$$\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}\ \mathbf{g}^{ij} w_j\right) = 0.$$

(d)

$$\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \mathbf{g}^{ij} w_j \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}(\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C}) = 0.$$

(e)

$$\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \mathbf{g}^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}(\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C})\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_j} = -2\left(\mathbf{J}\mathcal{D} + (\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C})\ \mathcal{C}\right).$$

Proof. From the definition of the immersion $\mathcal{C}$, we observe that the symmetric matrix $\left(\mathbf{g}_{ij}\right)_{1\le i,j\le 2N}$ becomes a block matrix such that $\mathbf{g}_{ij} = \mathbf{g}_{ji} = 0$ holds whenever $i \le N$ and $j \ge N+1$.

(a) Since the second identity is equivalent to the first one, we only check the first one. Fix the coordinates $(u_1, \cdots, u_{2N})$. Since vectors X, $\frac{\partial X}{\partial u_1}$, $\cdots$, $\frac{\partial X}{\partial u_N}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ are linearly independent, we have the linear combination

$$-Y = -(Y\cdot X)\,X + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i \frac{\partial X}{\partial u_i},$$

and similarly,

$$X = \ (X\cdot Y)\,Y + \sum_{i=N+1}^{2N} \tau_i \frac{\partial Y}{\partial u_i},$$

for some coefficients $\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_{2N}$. Combining these two, we have the linear combination

$$\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix} -Y \\ X \end{bmatrix} = (\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C})\ \mathcal{D} + \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \tau_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_i}.$$

Taking the dot product with the vector $\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_j}$ in both sides yields

$$w_j = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_j} \cdot \mathbf{J}\mathcal{C} = \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \mathbf{g}_{ji}\tau_i, \quad j \in \{1, \cdots, 2N\},$$

which implies that

$$\tau_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2N} \mathbf{g}^{ij} w_j, \quad i \in \{1, \cdots, 2N\}.$$

(b) It follows from **(a)** and $\mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{C} = 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - (\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{J}\mathcal{C})^2 &= \mathbf{J}\mathcal{C} \cdot [\, \mathbf{J}\mathcal{C} - (\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{J}\mathcal{C})\, \mathcal{D}\,] \\ &= \mathbf{J}\mathcal{C} \cdot \left[\sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} g^{ij} w_j \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_i} \right] \\ &= \sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} \mathbf{g}^{ij} w_j w_i. \end{aligned}$$

(c) We begin with the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \left(\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}\ \mathbf{g}^{ij} w_j \right) \\ = & \sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \left[\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}\ \mathbf{g}^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_j} \cdot \mathbf{J}\mathcal{C} \right) \right] \\ = & \sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \left[\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}\ \mathbf{g}^{ij} \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_j} \right) \cdot \mathbf{J}\mathcal{C} \right] \\ = & \sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \left(\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}\ \mathbf{g}^{ij} \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_j} \right) \right] \cdot \mathbf{J}\mathcal{C} \ + \sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} \left(\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}\ \mathbf{g}^{ij} \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_j} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} (\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the Clifford torus $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N$ in minimal $\mathbb{S}^{2N+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2N+2}$, its mean curvature vector vanishes:

$$\triangle_{g_{\mathbf{C}}} \mathbf{C} + 2N\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathbf{0},$$

which implies that the first sum vanishes:

$$\left[\sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \left(\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}\ \mathbf{g}^{ij} \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_j} \right) \right] \cdot \mathbf{J}\mathcal{C} = (-2N\mathcal{C}) \cdot \mathbf{J}\mathcal{C} = 0.$$

By using the fact that the matrix $\left(\mathbf{g}_{ij}\right)_{1\le i,j\le 2N}$ is symmetric and by noticing that

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_i}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}(\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{J}\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_i}\cdot\mathbf{J}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}(\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}(\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C})\cdot\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_j}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_i}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}(\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C}) = 0,$$

we see that the second sum also vanishes:

$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le 2N}\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}\;\mathbf{g}^{ij}\left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_j}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}(\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C}) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_i}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}(\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C})\right] = 0.$$

(d) From $\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial u_i}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_i}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{D}$ and **(a)**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\mathbf{g}^{ij}w_j\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}(\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C})\\
=\;&\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\mathbf{g}^{ij}w_j\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial u_i}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C}\right) + \mathcal{D}\cdot\mathbf{J}\left(\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\mathbf{g}^{ij}w_j\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_i}\right)\\
=\;&-\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\mathbf{g}^{ij}w_j\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_i}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{D}\right) - \mathbf{J}\mathcal{D}\cdot\left(\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\mathbf{g}^{ij}w_j\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_i}\right)\\
=\;&-2\left(\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\mathbf{g}^{ij}w_j\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_i}\right)\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{D}\\
=\;&-2\left[\,\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C} - (\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C})\,\mathcal{D}\,\right]\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{D}\\
=\;&-2\,\mathcal{C}\cdot\mathcal{D}\\
=\;&0.
\end{aligned}$$

(e) Recall that the symmetric matrix $\left(\mathbf{g}_{ij}\right)_{1\le i,j\le 2N}$ is a block matrix such that $\mathbf{g}_{ij} = \mathbf{g}_{ji} = 0$ for $i \le N$ and $j \ge N+1$, and note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}(\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathbf{J}\mathcal{C}) = \begin{cases} 2w_i, & i\in\{1,\cdots,N\},\\ -2w_i, & i\in\{N+1,\cdots,2N\}.\end{cases}$$

Using the second identity in **(a)**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \mathbf{g}^{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}\left(\mathcal{D}\cdot \mathbf{J}\mathcal{C}\right)\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_j}\\
&= 2\sum_{1\le i,j\le N} \mathbf{g}^{ij} w_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_j} - 2\sum_{N+1\le i,j\le 2N} \mathbf{g}^{ij} w_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial u_j}\\
&= 2\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \mathbf{g}^{ij} w_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial u_j}\\
&= -2\left(\mathbf{J}\mathcal{D} + \left(\mathcal{D}\cdot \mathbf{J}\mathcal{C}\right)\mathcal{C}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.3. **Multi-rays cones over the submaninfolds in a sphere.**

Definition 3 (**L-rays cone in $\mathbb{R}^{L(N+1)}$ over a submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$**). *Given a submanifold Σ in the unit hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, we introduce the L-rays cone in $\mathbb{R}^{L(N+1)}$ (possibly with a singularity at the origin)*

$$C_L(\Sigma) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r_1\mathbf{P} \\ \vdots \\ r_L\mathbf{P} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{L(N+1)} \mid r_1, \cdots, r_L \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{P} \in \Sigma \right\}.$$

Theorem 1 (**Takahashi type equivalence for multi-rays cones**). *Let Σ^n be a submanifold of the unit hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

(a) *Σ^n is minimal in $\mathbb{S}^N$.*

(b) *The following submanifold $\mathcal{S}_L(\Sigma^n)$ is minimal in $\mathbb{S}^{L(N+1)-1}$.*

$$\mathcal{S}_L(\Sigma^n) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_1\mathbf{P} \\ \vdots \\ x_L\mathbf{P} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{S}^{L(N+1)-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{L(N+1)} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_L \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{S}^{L-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^L, \mathbf{P} \in \Sigma \right\}.$$

(c) *The L-rays cone $C_L(\Sigma^n)$ is minimal in $\mathbb{R}^{L(N+1)}$.*

Remark 1. *The case $L = 1$ of Theorem 1 was proved in [27, Theorem 3] and [25, Proposition 6.1.1].*

Proof. Since $C_L(\Sigma^n)$ is the cone over $\mathcal{S}_L(\Sigma^n)$, Takahashi's Theorem [27] guarantees the equivalence **(b)** $\Leftrightarrow$ **(c)**. It now remains to prove the equivalence **(a)** $\Leftrightarrow$ **(c)**. Let $\mathbf{F}(u_1, \cdots, u_n)$ be a local patch of Σ^n in $\mathbb{S}^N \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. We write

$$g = g_\Sigma = (g_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le n} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial u_i}\cdot\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial u_j}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}.$$

It follows from Takahashi's Theorem [27] that Σ^n becomes a minimal submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^N$ if and only if

$$\mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} = n\mathbf{F} + \triangle_g \mathbf{F}.$$

Next, the induced patch of the L-rays cone $C_L(\Sigma^n)$ reads

$$\Phi(r_1, \cdots, r_L, u_1, \cdots, u_n) = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \mathbf{F}(u_1, \cdots, u_n) \\ \vdots \\ r_L \mathbf{F}(u_1, \cdots, u_n) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{L(N+1)}.$$

By observing that the induced metric of the L-rays cone $C_L(\Sigma^n)$ reads

$$G = {dr_1}^2 + \cdots + {dr_L}^2 + \mathcal{R}g, \quad \mathcal{R} = {r_1}^2 + \cdots + {r_L}^2,$$

we are able to explicitly compute the mean curvature vector $\mathbf{H}$ of $C_L(\Sigma^n) \subset \mathbb{R}^{L(N+1)}$ in terms of local coordinates $u_1, \cdots, u_n, r_1, \cdots, r_L$:

$$\mathbf{H} = \triangle_G \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r_1}{\mathcal{R}} \left(n\mathbf{F} + \triangle_g \mathbf{F} \right) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{r_L}{\mathcal{R}} \left(n\mathbf{F} + \triangle_g \mathbf{F} \right) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we see that the mean curvature vector filed $\mathbf{H}$ of the cone $C_L(\Sigma^n)$ vanishes if and only if $\mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} = n\mathbf{F} + \triangle_g \mathbf{F}$. □

The L-rays cone in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)}$ over the Clifford torus $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N$ will play an important role in Theorem 3.

Definition 4 (**L-rays Clifford cone in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)}$**)**.** *Let $L \geq 1$, $N \geq 0$ be integers. We introduce the L-rays Clifford cone in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)} = \mathbb{C}^{(N+1)L}$. Definition 3 gives an explicit expression*

$$C_L\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \right) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r_1 (X + iY) \\ \vdots \\ r_L (X + iY) \end{bmatrix} \mid r_1, \cdots, r_L \in \mathbb{R}, \|X\|_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} = \|Y\|_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} = 1 \right\}.$$

Corollary 1 (**Minimality of multi-rays Clifford cones**)**.** *The L-rays Clifford cone $C_L\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \right)$ is a minimal submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)}$.*

Remark 2. *We observe that $C_1\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \right)$ is the classical Clifford cone. After applying reflections in $\mathbb{R}^{4N+4}$, $C_2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \right)$ is congruent to Harvey-Lawson's twisted normal cone [14, Theorem 3.17] over the Clifford torus. See Example 4 in Section 3 and [14, Example 3.22].*

3. Generalized helicoids in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1}$

For any given pair (λ_0, λ_1) of real constants, the submanifold

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}\, e^{i(\lambda_1\Theta)} \\ \lambda_0\Theta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R} \mid \Theta, \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is minimal in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We present two generalizations and four examples.

Theorem 2 (**Sweeping out L indpendent Clifford cones in $\mathbb{R}^{2N+2}$**). *Let $L \geq 1$, $N \geq 0$ be integers. Given an $(L+1)$-tuple $\Lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_L)$ of real numbers and a collection $\mathbf{C} = \{C_1, \cdots, C_L\}$ of L independent $2N$-dimensional Clifford tori lying in the unit hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{2N+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2N+2}$, we define the generalized helicoid $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1} = \mathbb{C}^{(N+1)L} \times \mathbb{R}$*

$$\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r_1\, e^{i(\lambda_1\Theta)}\,(X_1 + iY_1) \\ \vdots \\ r_L\, e^{i(\lambda_L\Theta)}\,(X_L + iY_L) \\ \lambda_0\Theta \end{bmatrix} \mid \Theta \in \mathbb{R}, r_t \in \mathbb{R}, \begin{bmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{bmatrix} \in C_t, 1 \leq t \leq L \right\}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}$ is a minimal submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1}$ and invariant under the multi-screw motion $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ introduced in Definition 1.

Remark 3. *When $\lambda_0 = 0$, $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}$ becomes a minimal cone in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)}$. In the particular case when $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_L) = (0, \cdots, 0)$, $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}$ is the product of L independent Clifford cones.*

Theorem 3 (**Sweeping out the L-rays Clifford cone in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)}$**). *Let $L \geq 1$, $N \geq 0$ be integers. Given two real constants λ_0, λ and the L-rays Clifford cone $C_L\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N\right)$, we define the generalized helicoid $\mathcal{H}^{\lambda,\lambda_0,L,N}$ in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1} = \mathbb{C}^{(N+1)L} \times \mathbb{R}$*

$$\mathcal{H}^{\lambda,\lambda_0,L,N} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} e^{i(\lambda\Theta)}Z \\ \lambda_0\Theta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{(N+1)L} \times \mathbb{R} \mid \Theta \in \mathbb{R}, Z \in C_L\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N\right) \right\}.$$

More explicitly, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{\lambda,\lambda_0,L,N} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r_1\, e^{i(\lambda\Theta)}\,(X + iY) \\ \vdots \\ r_L\, e^{i(\lambda\Theta)}\,(X + iY) \\ \lambda_0\Theta \end{bmatrix} \mid \Theta \in \mathbb{R}, r \in \mathbb{R}, \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} \in \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \right\}.$$

Then, the variety $\mathcal{H}^{\lambda,\lambda_0,L,N}$ is minimal in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1}$. It is invariant under the multi-screw motion $\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda=(\lambda,\cdots,\lambda,\lambda_0)}$ introduced in Definition 1.

Remark 4. *When $\lambda = \lambda_0 = 0$, $\mathcal{H}^{0,0,L,N}$ is a minimal cone in Corollary 1.*

Example 1 (Choe-Hoppe's minimal hypersurface [4, Theorem 2]). *Taking $L = 1$ in Theorem 2 or Theorem 3 recovers the Choe-Hoppe helicoid [4]. More explicitly, sweeping out the Clifford cone in $\mathbb{R}^{2N} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2N+1}$*

$$\mathbf{C}^{2N-1} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ q_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_N \\ q_N \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2N} \;\middle|\; {p_1}^2 + \cdots + {p_N}^2 = {q_1}^2 + \cdots + {q_N}^2 \right\}$$

yields the minimal submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{2N+1}$

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \\ y_N \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \cos\Theta - q_1 \sin\Theta \\ q_1 \cos\Theta + p_1 \sin\Theta \\ \vdots \\ p_N \cos\Theta - q_N \sin\Theta \\ q_N \cos\Theta + p_N \sin\Theta \\ \lambda\,\Theta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2N+1} \mid \Theta \in \mathbb{R},\ \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ q_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_N \\ q_N \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{C}^{2N-1} \right\},$$

for any pitch constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 5. *Observing that $\mathbf{C}^{2N-1}$ is a cone, one finds that $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ is homothetic to $\mathcal{H}_1$ for any non-zero constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Up to homotheties, the Choe-Hoppe helicoid in $\mathbb{R}^{2N+1}$ can be represented as the hypersurface*

$$z = \mathbf{arg}\left(\sqrt{(x_1 + iy_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_N + iy_N)^2}\ \right).$$

We can also deduce its minimality by checking that the function

$$f(x_1, y_1, \cdots, x_N, y_N) = \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left(\frac{2x_1y_1 + \cdots + 2x_Ny_N}{{x_1}^2 - {y_1}^2 + \cdots + {x_N}^2 - {y_N}^2} \right)$$

satisfies the minimal hypersurface equation in $\mathbb{R}^{2N+1}$:

$$0 = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\left(\frac{f_{x_k}}{\mathcal{W}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}\left(\frac{f_{y_k}}{\mathcal{W}} \right) \right], \quad \mathcal{W} = \sqrt{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left({f_{x_k}}^2 + {f_{y_k}}^2 \right)}.$$

Remark 6. *There are at least two geometric proofs of the minimality of the classical helicoids in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which exploits symmetries of helicoids. For instance, see [13, Section 2.2] and Karsten's lecture note [17, Section 2.2]. Interested readers may also try to give new proofs of the minimality of the Choe-Hoppe helicoid, which extend such geometric arguments.*

Example 2 (**Barbosa-Dajczer-Jorge's helicoids [2]**). *The case $N = 0$ in Theorem 2 recovers Barbosa-Dajczer-Jorge's ruled minimal submanifolds [2]. More explicitly, given an $(L+1)$-tuple $\Lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_L)$, by sweeping out an L-dimensional plane, we have a minimal submanifold*

$$\mathcal{H}_\Lambda = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \cos(\lambda_1\Theta) \\ r_1 \sin(\lambda_1\Theta) \\ \vdots \\ r_L \cos(\lambda_L\Theta) \\ r_L \sin(\lambda_L\Theta) \\ \lambda_0\Theta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2L+1} \mid \Theta, r_1, \cdots, r_L \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Bryant [3] proved that it is an austere submanifold, which means that, for any normal vector, the set of eigenvalues of its induced shape operator is invariant under the multiplication by -1. These submanifolds can be characterized by two uniqueness results. See [2, Theorem 3.10] and [3, Theorem 3.1]. Notice that, for $\lambda_0 = 0$, they become minimal cones in $\mathbb{R}^{2L}$.

Example 3 (**Minimal submanifolds in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{L(2N+2)-1}$**). *Taking $\lambda_0 = 0$ in Theorem 2, we obtain a minimal cone in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)}$ given by*

$$\mathcal{H}^{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_L,\mathbf{C}} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r_1 e^{i(\lambda_1\Theta)}(X_1 + iY_1) \\ \vdots \\ r_L e^{i(\lambda_L\Theta)}(X_L + iY_L) \end{bmatrix} \mid \Theta \in \mathbb{R}, r_t \in \mathbb{R}, \begin{bmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{bmatrix} \in C_t, 1 \le t \le L \right\},$$

where $\mathbf{C} = \{C_1, \cdots, C_L\}$ denotes a collection of L independent $2N$-dimensional Clifford tori in $\mathbb{S}^{2N+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2N+2}$. The fact that $\mathcal{H}^{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_L,\mathbf{C}}$ is a minimal cone in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)}$ guarantees that the intersection $\Sigma = \mathcal{H}^{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_L,\mathbf{C}} \cap \mathbb{S}^{L(2N+2)-1}$ becomes a minimal submanifold in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{L(2N+2)-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)}$. More explicitly, we have

$$\Sigma = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} p_1 e^{i(\lambda_1\Theta)}(X_1 + iY_1) \\ \vdots \\ p_L e^{i(\lambda_L\Theta)}(X_L + iY_L) \end{bmatrix} \mid \Theta \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{t=1}^{L} {p_t}^2 = 1, \begin{bmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{bmatrix} \in C_t, 1 \le t \le L \right\}.$$

In the particular case when $(L, N) = (2, 0)$, we recover the family of Lawson's ruled minimal surfaces [18, Section 7] in $\mathbb{S}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$:

$$\Sigma = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \cos t \cos(\lambda_1\Theta) \\ \cos t \sin(\lambda_1\Theta) \\ \sin t \cos(\lambda_2\Theta) \\ \sin t \sin(\lambda_2\Theta) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid t, \Theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

for any pair $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \neq (0, 0)$ of real constants.

Example 4 (**Harvey-Lawson's volume-minimizing cone in $\mathbb{R}^{4N+4}$ [14]**). *Corollary 1 with $L = 2$ or Theorem 3 with $\lambda_0 = \lambda = 0$ and $L = 2$ recover Harvey-Lawson's twisted normal cone [14, Example 3.22] over the Clifford torus $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \subset \mathbb{S}^{2N+1}$. According to [14, Theorem 3.17], the austerity of the Clifford torus in $\mathbb{S}^{2N+1}$ guarantees that the cone*

$$\Sigma^{2N+2} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r_1 X \\ r_1 Y \\ r_2 X \\ r_2 Y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{4N+4} \mid r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R},\ \|X\|_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} = 1,\ \|Y\|_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} = 1 \right\}$$

is homologically volume minimizing.

4. Proof of main results

We present details of the proof of Theorem 2, which exploits five identities in Lemma 1. Since the proof of Theorem 3 is similar, we shall omit it.

Our aim is to show that the generalized helicoid $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}$ is minimal in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1}$. In the particular case when $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_L) = (0, \cdots, 0)$, it becomes the product of L independent Clifford cones. From now on, we assume that $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_L) \neq (0, \cdots, 0)$.

For each index $s \in \{1, \cdots, L\}$, let $C^s\left(u_1^s, \cdots, u_{2N}^s\right)$ denote a local chart of the Clifford tori $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N$ in $\mathbb{S}^{2N+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2N+2} = \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. These induce a local patch $\mathbf{F}$ of the generalized helicoid $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1}$

$$\mathbf{F}\left(u_1^1, \cdots, u_{2N}^1, \cdots, u_1^L, \cdots, u_{2N}^L, \Theta, r_1, \cdots, r_L\right) = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 e^{i(\lambda_1\Theta)} C^1\left(u_1^1, \cdots, u_{2N}^1\right) \\ \vdots \\ r_L e^{i(\lambda_L\Theta)} C^L\left(u_1^L, \cdots, u_{2N}^L\right) \\ \lambda_0\Theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

We will show that the mean curvature vector $\triangle_{G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}}}\mathbf{F}$ vanishes. Here, $\triangle_{G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}}}$ denote the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}$ induced by the patch $\mathbf{F}$ of the generalized helicoid $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}$. More explicitly, we need to prove equalities

(a)

$$\triangle_{G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}}}(\lambda_0\Theta) \equiv 0.$$

(b)

$$\triangle_{G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}}}\left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)} C^t\right) \equiv 0, \quad t \in \{1, \cdots, L\}.$$

Step A. Let $\left(g^s_{ij}\right)_{1\le i,j\le 2N}$ denote the matrix of the first fundamental form induced by the patch $C^s\left(u^s_1,\cdots,u^s_{2N}\right)$ of the Clifford torus $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N\times\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N$ lying in $\mathbb{S}^{2N+1}\subset\mathbb{R}^{2N+2}$. We adopt the notation

$$\mathbf{g}^s := \det\left(g^s_{ij}\right)_{1\le i,j\le 2N}.$$

Then, the induced metric $G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{c}}}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{c}}$ in coordinates $u^1_1,\cdots,u^1_{2N},\cdots,u^L_1,\cdots,u^L_{2N},\Theta,r_1,\cdots,r_L$ reads

$$G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{c}}} = \sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} {r_s}^2 g^s_{ij}\,du^s_i du^s_j + 2\sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\lambda_s {r_s}^2 w^s_i d\Theta du^s_i + \mathcal{R}\,d\Theta^2 + \sum_{t=1}^{L} d{r_s}^2,$$

where we define

$$\mathcal{R} = {\lambda_1}^2{r_1}^2 + \cdots + {\lambda_L}^2{r_L}^2 + {\lambda_0}^2 > 0, \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$w^s_i = \frac{\partial C^s_i}{\partial u^s_i}\cdot\mathbf{J}C^s_i,\quad s\in\{1,\cdots,L\},\ i\in\{1,\cdots,2N\}.$$

By using the cofactor expansion of determinant or the Laplace formula, we compute the determinant

$$\mathbf{G} := \det\left(G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{c}}}\right) = \mathcal{P}\,(r_1\cdots r_L)^{4N}\prod_{s=1}^{L}\mathbf{g}^s, \tag{4.2}$$

where we have, by **(b)** of Lemma 1,

$$\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{R} - \sum_{1\le s\le L}\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\lambda_s{}^2 r_s{}^2 (g^s)^{ij} w^s_i w^s_j = {\lambda_0}^2 + \sum_{s=1}^{L}\lambda_s{}^2 r_s{}^2\,(D^s\cdot\mathbf{J}C^s)^2 > 0. \tag{4.3}$$

From now on, we work on the points when $\mathbf{G} = \det\left(G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{c}}}\right)$ does not vanish, or equivalently, when none of $r_1,\cdots,r_L$ vanishes. Write

$$d^s_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2N}\lambda_s (g^s)^{ij} w^s_j,\quad s\in\{1,\cdots,L\},\ i\in\{1,\cdots,2N\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Then, the components of $\left(G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{c}}}\right)^{-1}$ in the local coordinates $u^1_1,\cdots,u^1_{2N},\cdots,u^L_1,\cdots,u^L_{2N},\Theta,r_1,\cdots,r_L$ reads:

$$G^{u^s_i u^s_j} = \frac{(g^s)^{ij}}{{r_s}^2} + \frac{d^s_i\,d^s_j}{\mathcal{P}},\quad G^{u^s_i\Theta} = G^{\Theta u^s_i} = \frac{-d^s_i}{\mathcal{P}},\quad G^{\Theta\Theta} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}},\quad G^{r_t r_t} = 1.$$

The other components of $\left(G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}}\right)^{-1}$ are all zero. Finally, we find the induced Laplace-Beltrami operator with respect to the metric $G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\triangle_{G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}}} \quad = \quad & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}\sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{(g^s)^{ij}}{{r_s}^2}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^s}\right)\\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}\sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{d_i^s\,d_j^s}{\mathcal{P}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^s}\right)\\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}\sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{-d_i^s}{\mathcal{P}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\right)\\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}\sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{-d_i^s}{\mathcal{P}}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\right)\\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{P}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\right)\\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}\sum_{s=1}^{L}\frac{\partial}{\partial r^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\frac{\partial}{\partial r^s}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Step B. We next show that

$$\triangle_{G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}}}\Theta\equiv 0,$$

which implies that the last coordinate in $\mathbb{R}^{L(2N+2)+1}$ is harmonic on the generalized helicoid $\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}$. According to the formula for $\triangle_{G_{\mathcal{H}^{\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}}}$ deduced in **Step A**, it reduces to prove the equality

$$\sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{d_i^s}{\mathcal{P}}\right)=0.$$

We claim that, for each fixed $s\in\{1,\cdots,L\}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{d_i^s}{\mathcal{P}}\right)=0. \tag{4.5}$$

According to the equality

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}=\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}\,(r_1\cdots r_L)^{2N}\prod_{s=1}^{L}\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^s},$$

and the definition (4.4)

$$d_i^s = \sum_{j=1}^{2N} \lambda_s (g^s)^{ij} w_j^s,$$

it is sufficient to check the identity

$$\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}\ \sqrt{\mathbf{g}^s}\,(g^s)^{ij} w_j^s\right) = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^s}\ (g^s)^{ij} w_j^s\right) + \sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \sqrt{\mathbf{g}^s}\,(g^s)^{ij} w_j^s \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}\right) = 0.$$

The identity **(c)** of Lemma 1 guarantees that the first sum vanishes. To prove that the second sum vanishes, we are required to show

$$\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} (g^s)^{ij} w_j^s \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial u_i^s} = 0.$$

From the definition

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{R} - \sum_{1\le s\le L}\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} {\lambda_s}^2 {r_s}^2 (g^s)^{ij} w_i^s w_j^s,$$

and the identity **(b)** of Lemma 1, we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial u_i^s} = -{\lambda_s}^2 {r_s}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} (g^s)^{ij} w_i^s w_j^s\right) = -{\lambda_s}^2 {r_s}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(1 - (D^s \cdot \mathbf{J}C^s)^2\right).$$

We thus need to prove

$$\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} (g^s)^{ij} w_j^s \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(1 - (D^s \cdot \mathbf{J}C^s)^2\right) = 0.$$

So, it is enough to obtain

$$\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} (g^s)^{ij} w_j^s \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\,(D^s \cdot \mathbf{J}C^s) = 0.$$

However, because of the identity **(d)** of Lemma 1, this sum vanishes.

Step C. It now remains to prove that, for each index $t \in \{1, \cdots, L\}$,

$$\triangle_{G_{\mathcal{H}^\Lambda, \mathbf{C}}}\left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} C^t\right) \equiv 0.$$

According to the formula for $\triangle_{G_{\mathcal{H}^\Lambda,\mathbf{C}}}$ deduced in **Step A**, it reduces to prove the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \;=\; & \sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{(g^s)^{ij}}{{r_s}^2}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^s}\,\left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}C^t\right)\right)\\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{d_i^s\,d_j^s}{\mathcal{P}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^s}\,\left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}C^t\right)\right)\\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{-d_i^s}{\mathcal{P}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\,\left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}C^t\right)\right)\\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{-d_i^s}{\mathcal{P}}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\,\left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}C^t\right)\right)\\
&+\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{1}{\mathcal{P}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\,\left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}C^t\right)\right)\\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{L}\frac{\partial}{\partial r^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\frac{\partial}{\partial r^s}\,\left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}C^t\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We express this equality as the sum

$$0=\mathbf{S}_1+\mathbf{S}_2+\mathbf{S}_3+\mathbf{S}_4+\mathbf{S}_5+\mathbf{S}_6.$$

Step C1. We recall that $\mathbf{G}=\mathcal{P}\,(r_1\cdots r_L)^{4N}\prod_{s=1}^{L}\mathbf{g}^s$. We introduce

$$Q_s=\sqrt{\prod_{s\in\{1,\cdots,L\}-\{\alpha\}}(\,{r_s}^{4N}\mathbf{g}^s\,)}\,,\quad s\in\{1,\cdots,L\}$$

to get the factorization

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}=\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}\,{r_s}^{2N}\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^s}\,Q_s,\quad s\in\{1,\cdots,L\}. \tag{4.7}$$

We evaluate the sum $\mathbf{S}_1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_1 &= \sum_{s=1}^{L} \sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\, \frac{(g^s)^{ij}}{{r_s}^2}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^s}\, \left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)} C^t \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\, \frac{(g^t)^{ij}}{{r_t}^2}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^t}\, \left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)} C^t \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{r_t} e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)} \sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}} \left(g^t\right)^{ij}\, \frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_j^t} \right) \\
&= {r_t}^{2N-1} Q_t\, e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)} \sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t}\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}\, \sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t} \left(g^t\right)^{ij}\, \frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_j^t} \right) \\
&= {r_t}^{2N-1} Q_t \sqrt{\mathcal{P}}\, e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)} \sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t} \left(g^t\right)^{ij}\, \frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_j^t} \right) \\
&\quad + {r_t}^{2N-1} Q_t\, e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)} \sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t} \left(g^t\right)^{ij} \frac{\partial \sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial u_i^t}\, \frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_j^t}.
\end{aligned}$$

We make two observations. First, as in the proof of the identity in **(c)** of Lemma 1, by using the minimality of the Clifford torus $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{S}^N$ in the unit hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{2N+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2N+2}$, we can simplify the sum in the first term:

$$\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t} \left(g^t\right)^{ij}\, \frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_j^t} \right) = \sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}\, \triangle_{g^t} C^t = -2N \sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}\, C^t,$$

Second, from the definition (4.3) and **(b)** of Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial u_i^t} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t} \left(\mathcal{R} - \sum_{1\le s\le L} \sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} {\lambda_s}^2 {r_s}^2 (g^s)^{ij} w_i^s w_j^s \right) \\
&= -\frac{{\lambda_t}^2 {r_t}^2}{2\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t} \left(1 - \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J} C^t \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{{\lambda_t}^2 {r_t}^2}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J} C^t \right)\, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t} \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J} C^t \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and then, by **(e)** of Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}\left(g^t\right)^{ij}\frac{\partial\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial u_i^t}\frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_j^t}\\
&= \lambda_t{}^2 r_t{}^2\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}\left(D^t\cdot\mathbf{J}C^t\right)\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\left(g^t\right)^{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t}\left(D^t\cdot\mathbf{J}C^t\right)\frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_j^t}.\\
&= -2\lambda_t{}^2 r_t{}^2\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}\left(D^t\cdot\mathbf{J}C^t\right)\left[\mathbf{J}D^t+\left(D^t\cdot\mathbf{J}C^t\right)C^t\right].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_1 &= -2N\, r_t{}^{2N-1}Q_t\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}\; e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}C^t\\
&\quad -2\lambda_t{}^2 r_t{}^{2N+1}Q_t\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}\left(D^t\cdot\mathbf{J}C^t\right)\; e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\left[\mathbf{J}D^t+\left(D^t\cdot\mathbf{J}C^t\right)C^t\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Step C2. We will use the factorization (4.7) obtained in **Step C1**:

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{G}} = \sqrt{\mathcal{P}}\, r_t{}^{2N}\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}Q_t.$$

We expand the sum $\mathbf{S}_2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_2 &= \sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{d_i^s d_j^s}{\mathcal{P}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^s}\left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}C^t\right)\right)\\
&= \sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{d_i^t d_j^t}{\mathcal{P}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^t}\left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}C^t\right)\right)\\
&= r_t{}^{2N+1}Q_t\; e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t}\left[\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}d_i^t\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2N}d_j^t\,\frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_j^t}\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

From the first identity in **(a)** of Lemma 1 and the definition (4.4)

$$d_j^t = \sum_{k=1}^{2N}\lambda_t\left(g^t\right)^{jk}w_k^t,$$

we compute the inner sum:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2N}d_j^t\,\frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_j^t} = \lambda_t\sum_{1\le j,k\le 2N}\left(g^t\right)^{jk}w_k^t\frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_j^t} = \lambda_t\left[\mathbf{J}C^t-\left(D^t\cdot\mathbf{J}C^t\right)\,D^t\right].$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_2 &= \lambda_t {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \, e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t} \left[\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} d_i^t \left\{ \mathbf{J}C^t - \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t \right) D^t \right\} \right] \\
&= \lambda_t {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \, e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} d_i^t \right) \right] \left\{ \mathbf{J}C^t - \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t \right) D^t \right\} \\
&\quad + \lambda_t {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \, e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} d_i^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t} \left[\mathbf{J}C^t - \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t \right) D^t \right].
\end{aligned}$$

According to the identity (4.6) deduced in **Step B**, we notice that the sum in the first term vanishes:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} d_i^t \right) = \lambda_t \sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \left(g^t \right)^{ij} w_j^t \right) = 0.$$

We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_2 &= \lambda_t {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \, e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} d_i^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t} \left[\mathbf{J}C^t - \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t \right) D^t \right] \\
&= \lambda_t {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \, e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} \, \mathbf{J} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2N} d_i^t \frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_i^t} \right) \\
&\quad - \lambda_t {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t \right) \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \, e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} d_i^t \frac{\partial D^t}{\partial u_i^t} \\
&\quad - \lambda_t {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \, e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2N} d_i^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t} \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t \right) \right] D^t \\
&= \lambda_t^2 {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \, e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} \, \mathbf{J} \left(\sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} \left(g^t \right)^{ij} w_j^t \frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_i^t} \right) \\
&\quad - \lambda_t^2 {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t \right) \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \, e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} \sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} \left(g^t \right)^{ij} w_j^t \frac{\partial D^t}{\partial u_i^t} \\
&\quad - \lambda_t^2 \lambda_t {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \, e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} \left[\sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} \left(g^t \right)^{ij} w_j^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t} \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t \right) \right] D^t.
\end{aligned}$$

According to the identity **(d)** of Lemma 1, the third sum vanishes. By using two identities in **(a)** of Lemma 1, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_2 &= \lambda_t{}^2 r_t{}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}\, e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\, \mathbf{J}\left[\, \mathbf{J}C^t - \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t\right)\, D^t \,\right] \\
&\quad -\lambda_t{}^2 r_t{}^{2N+1} Q_t \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t\right) \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}\, e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\left[\, -\mathbf{J}D^t - \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t\right)\, C^t \,\right] \\
&= -\lambda_t{}^2 r_t{}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}\left[\, 1 - \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t\right)^2 \,\right] e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)} C^t.
\end{aligned}$$

Step C3. The identity (4.5) and the definition (4.4) give

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_3 &= \sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{i=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\, \frac{-d_i^s}{\mathcal{P}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\, \left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)} C^t\right)\right) \\
&= -\lambda_t r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\left[\, \sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{i=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\, \frac{d_i^s}{\mathcal{P}}\, \mathbf{J}C^t\right)\right] \\
&= -\lambda_s r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\, \mathbf{J}\left[\, \sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{i=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\, \frac{d_i^s}{\mathcal{P}}\, C^t\right)\right] \\
&= -\lambda_s r_s e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\, \mathbf{J}\left[\, \sum_{s=1}^{L}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\, \frac{d_i^s}{\mathcal{P}}\right)\right\} C^t + \sum_{i=1}^{2N}\sum_{s=1}^{L} \sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\, \frac{d_i^s}{\mathcal{P}} \frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_i^s}\,\right] \\
&= -\lambda_t r_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}} e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\, \mathbf{J}\left[\, \sum_{i=1}^{2N}\sum_{s=1}^{L} d_i^s \frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_i^s}\,\right] \\
&= -\lambda_t r_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}} e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\, \mathbf{J}\left[\, \sum_{i=1}^{2N} d_i^t \frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_i^t}\,\right] \\
&= -\lambda_t{}^2 r_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}} e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\, \mathbf{J}\left[\, \sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N} \left(g^t\right)^{ij} w_j^t \frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_i^t}\,\right].
\end{aligned}$$

The first identity in **(a)** of Lemma 1 and the factorization (4.7) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_3 &= -\lambda_t{}^2 r_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}} e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\, \mathbf{J}\left[\, \mathbf{J}C^t - \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t\right)\, D^t \,\right] \\
&= \lambda_t{}^2 r_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}} e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\left[\, C^t + \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t\right)\, \mathbf{J}D^t \,\right] \\
&= \lambda_t{}^2 r_t{}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\left[\, C^t + \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t\right)\, \mathbf{J}D^t \,\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Step C4. We simplify the sum $\mathbf{S}_4$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_4 &= \sum_{s=1}^{L}\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}\,\frac{-d_i^s}{\mathcal{P}}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\,\left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}C^t\right)\right)\\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}}\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\left(\sum_{s=1}^{L} d_i^s\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^s}\,\left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}C^t\right)\right)\\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}}\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\left(\quad d_i^t\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t}\,\left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}C^t\right)\right)\\
&= -r_t\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}}\sum_{i=1}^{2N} d_i^t\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t}\,e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}C^t\right)\\
&= -\lambda_t r_t\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}}e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\sum_{i=1}^{2N} d_i^t\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^t}\,\mathbf{J}C^t\right)\\
&= -\lambda_t r_t\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}}e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\mathbf{J}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2N} d_i^t\frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_i^t}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

From the definition $d_i^t = \sum_{j=1}^{2N}\lambda_t(g^t)^{ij}w_j^t$ and the first identity in **(a)** of Lemma 1, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_4 &= -{\lambda_t}^2 r_t\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}}e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\mathbf{J}\left(\sum_{1\le i,j\le 2N}\left(g^t\right)^{ij}w_j^t\frac{\partial C^t}{\partial u_i^t}\right)\\
&= -{\lambda_t}^2 r_t\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}}e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\mathbf{J}\,\left(\,\mathbf{J}C^t - \left(D^t\cdot\mathbf{J}C^t\right)\,D^t\,\right)\\
&= {\lambda_t}^2 r_t\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}}e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\,\left[\,C^t + \left(D^t\cdot\mathbf{J}C^t\right)\,\mathbf{J}D^t\,\right].
\end{aligned}$$

By using the factorization (4.7) obtained in **Step C1**:

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{G}} = \sqrt{\mathcal{P}}\,{r_t}^{2N}\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}\,Q_t,$$

we have

$$\mathbf{S}_4 = {\lambda_t}^2 r_t{}^{2N+1}Q_t\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\,\left[\,C^t + \left(D^t\cdot\mathbf{J}C^t\right)\,\mathbf{J}D^t\,\right].$$

Step C5. The term $\mathbf{S}_5$ can be simplified to:

$$\mathbf{S}_5 = \lambda_t r_t\frac{\partial}{\partial\Theta}\,\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}}e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\,\mathbf{J}C^t\right) = -{\lambda_t}^2 r_t\frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathcal{P}}e^{i(\lambda_t\Theta)}\,C^t.$$

From the factorization (4.7) obtained in **Step C1**:

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{G}} = \sqrt{\mathcal{P}}\, {r_t}^{2N} \sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}\, Q_t,$$

we have

$$\mathbf{S}_5 = -{\lambda_t}^2 {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)}\, C^t.$$

Step C6. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_6 &= \sum_{s=1}^{L} \frac{\partial}{\partial r^s}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r^s}\, \left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} C^t \right) \right). \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial r^t}\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{G}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r^t}\, \left(r_t e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} C^t \right) \right). \\
&= e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)}\, \frac{\partial \sqrt{\mathbf{G}}}{\partial r^t}\, C^t \\
&= \sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}\, Q_t\, e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} \left[2N {r_t}^{2N-1} \sqrt{\mathcal{P}} + {r_t}^{2N} \frac{\partial \sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial r^t} \right] C^t.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (4.1), (4.3), and the first identity in **(b)** of Lemma 1, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \sqrt{\mathcal{P}}}{\partial r_t} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_t} \left(\mathcal{R} - \sum_{1 \le s \le L} \sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} {\lambda_s}^2 {r_s}^2 (g^s)^{ij} w_i^s w_j^s \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \left[2{\lambda_t}^2 r_t - 2{\lambda_t}^2 r_t \sum_{1 \le i,j \le 2N} \left(g^t \right)^{ij} w_i^t w_j^t \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \left[2{\lambda_t}^2 r_t - 2{\lambda_t}^2 r_t \left(1 - \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J} C^t \right)^2 \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{{\lambda_t}^2 r_t}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J} C^t \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

and meet

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_6 &= 2N\, {r_t}^{2N-1} Q_t \sqrt{\mathcal{P}} \sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}\, e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} C^t \\
&\quad + {\lambda_t}^2 {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J} C^t \right)^2\, e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)}\, C^t.
\end{aligned}$$

Step C7. Combining the results so far, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 + \mathbf{S}_3 + \mathbf{S}_4 + \mathbf{S}_5 + \mathbf{S}_6 \\
= \; & -2N\, {r_t}^{2N-1} Q_t \sqrt{\mathcal{P}} \sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}\; e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} C^t \\
& - 2{\lambda_t}^2 {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t\right)\; e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} \left[\mathbf{J}D^t + \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t\right) C^t\right] \\
& - {\lambda_t}^2 {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \left[\, 1 - \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t\right)^2 \right] e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} C^t \\
& + 2\,{\lambda_t}^2 {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} \left[\, C^t + \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t\right)\, \mathbf{J}D^t \right] \\
& - {\lambda_t}^2 {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)}\, C^t \\
& + 2N\, {r_t}^{2N-1} Q_t \sqrt{\mathcal{P}} \sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}\; e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)} C^t \\
& + {\lambda_t}^2 {r_t}^{2N+1} Q_t \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}^t}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}} \left(D^t \cdot \mathbf{J}C^t\right)^2\; e^{i(\lambda_t \Theta)}\, C^t \\
= \; & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] J. Bernstein and C. Breiner, *Helicoid-like minimal disks and uniqueness*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **655** (2011), 129–146.

[2] J. M. Barbosa, M. Dajczer, L. P. Jorge, *Minimal ruled submanifolds in spaces of constant curvature*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **33** (1984), no. 4, 531–547.

[3] R. L. Bryant, *Some remarks on the geometry of austere manifolds*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **21** (1991), no. 2, 133–157.

[4] J. Choe, J. Hoppe, *Higher dimensional minimal submanifolds generalizing the catenoid and helicoid*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **65** (2013), no. 1, 43–55.

[5] T. H. Colding, W. P. Minicozzi II, The space of embedded minimal surfaces of fixed genus in a 3-manifold I; Estimates off the axis for disks, Ann. of Math. (2) **160** (2004), no. 1, 27–68.

[6] T. H. Colding, W. P. Minicozzi II, The space of embedded minimal surfaces of fixed genus in a 3-manifold II; Multi-valued graphs in disks, Ann. of Math. (2) **160** (2004), no. 1, 69–92.

[7] T. H. Colding, W. P. Minicozzi II, The space of embedded minimal surfaces of fixed genus in a 3-manifold III; Planar domains, Ann. of Math. (2) **160** (2004), no. 2, 523–572.

[8] T. H. Colding, W. P. Minicozzi II, The space of embedded minimal surfaces of fixed genus in a 3-manifold IV; Locally simply connected, Ann. of Math. (2) **160** (2004), no. 2, 573–615.

[9] J.-H. Eschenburg, *The associated family*, Mat. Contemp. **31** (2006), 1–12.

[10] W. H. Fleming, *On the oriented Plateau problem*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **11** (1962), 69–90.

[11] A. Fraser and R. Schoen, *The first Steklov eigenvalue, conformal geometry, and minimal surfaces*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 5, 4011–4030.
[12] A. Fraser and R. Schoen, *Sharp eigenvalue bounds and minimal surfaces in the ball*, arXiv:1209.3789, (2012).
[13] A. T. Fomenko, A. A. Tuzhilin, Elements of the geometry and topology of minimal surfaces in three-dimensional space. Transl. from the Russian by E. J. F. Primrose. Transl. ed. by Simeon Ivanov. Translations of Mathematical Monographs. 93. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS). vii, 142 p. (1991).
[14] R. Harvey, H. B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math. **148** (1982) 47–157.
[15] W. Jagy, *Minimal hypersurfaces foliated by spheres*, Michigan Math. J. **38** (1991), no. 2, 255–270.
[16] K. Grosse-Brauckmann, *Cousins of constant mean curvature surfaces*, Hoffman, David (ed.), Global theory of minimal surfaces. Proceedings of the Clay Mathematics Institute 2001 summer school, Berkeley, CA, USA, June 25–July 27, 2001. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS). Cambridge, MA: Clay Mathematics Institute (ISBN 0-8218-3587-4/pbk). Clay Mathematics Proceedings 2, 747–767 (2005).
[17] K. Grosse-Brauckmann, *Minimal surfaces*, lecture note, `http://www3.mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/fileadmin/home/users/12/mfl.pdf`.
[18] H. B. Lawson, *Complete minimal surfaces in* $\mathbb{S}^3$, Ann. of Math. (2) **92** (1970) 335–374.
[19] W. H. Meeks III, H. Rosenberg, *The uniqueness of the helicoid*, Ann. of Math. (2) **161** (2005), no. 2, 727–758.
[20] M. del Pino, M. Musso, F. Pacard, *Solutions of the Allen-Cahn equation which are invariant under screw-motion*, Manuscripta Math. **138** (2012), no. 3-4, 273–286.
[21] B. Riemann, Oeuvres Mathématiques de Riemann. Gauthiers-Villards, Paris (1898)
[22] R. Schoen, *Uniqueness, symmetry, and embeddedness of minimal surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), n. 4, 791–809.
[23] R. Schoen, *Existence and geometric structure of metrics on surfaces which extremize eigenvalues*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. New Series, **44** (2013), no. 4, 777–807.
[24] M. Shiffman, *On surfaces of stationary area bounded by two circles, or convex curves, in parallel planes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **63** (1956), 77–90.
[25] J. Simons, *Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968) 62–105.
[26] N. Smale, *Minimal hypersurfaces with many isolated singularities*, Ann. of Math. (2) **130** (1989), no 3, 603–642.
[27] T. Takahashi, *Minimal immersions of Riemannian manifolds* J. Math. Soc. Japan **18** (1966), no. 4, 380–385.
[28] J. Wei, J. Yang, *Traveling vortex helicies for Schrödinger map equation*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

Korea Institute for Advanced Study School of Mathematics, Hoegiro 85, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-722, Korea
E-mail address: eunjoolee@kias.re.kr

Korea Institute for Advanced Study School of Mathematics, Hoegiro 85, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-722, Korea
E-mail address: momentmaplee@gmail.com, autumn@kias.re.kr